

The printf Problem

- Consider the `printf` function in C:

```
printf ("Hello World!\n");  
printf ("Name: %s", name);  
printf ("ASCII value = %d, Character = %c\n", ch, ch);
```

- The number and type of arguments `printf` expects depends on the format string

```
int printf (const char *format, ...)
```

The printf Problem

- The actual *type* of `printf` depends on the *value* of its first argument
- Can we do something similar in Haskell?

```
printf :: <FormatInfo> -> <Some type depending on FormatInfo>
```

- The **type** of the format information must reflect which and how many arguments are expected
 - can't be a regular string

The printf Problem

- Example:

“%s is %d years old”

- Our representation: what kind of information do we need to represent on
 - value level
 - type level?

```
S (L " is " (I (L " years old" X))) :: Format '[String, Int]
```

```
data Format (fmt :: [*]) where
  X :: Format '[]
  L :: ...
  S :: ...
  I :: ...
```

The printf Problem

- Mapping the format type to the type of the printf function:

```
type family FormatArgsThen (fmt :: [*]) (ty :: *) :: *
type instance FormatArgsThen '[]      ty = ty
type instance FormatArgsThen (t ': fmt) ty = t -> FormatArgsThen fmt ty
```

Problem: Distinguish values of identical representation

- Mars climate orbiter failure:
 - disintegrated, as trajectory was too close to Mars' atmosphere
 - calculated impulse was in pound-seconds instead of newton-seconds
- How can we use the type systems to avoid such problems?
 - trade-off between safety and overhead

Phantom types

- A type whose type parameter doesn't show up on the right hand side:

```
newtype Length a = Length Double
  deriving (Show, Eq, Ord)
```

- Can be used when side conditions are not reflected in the representations
 - e.g., should only be possible to add lengths if given in the same unit, but both represented as double precision floating point number

Smart Constructors

- Functions which call a constructor, and usually check some side conditions:

```
newtype IPAddr = IPAddr (Int, Int, Int, Int)

mkIPAddr :: Int -> Int -> Int -> Int -> Maybe IPAddr
mkIPAddr n1 n2 n3 n4
  | n1 >= 0 && n1 /= 10 & ... = Just $ IPAddr (n1, n2, n3, n4)
```

Back to GADTs & type families

- We have seen examples of what we can do with type families:

```
type family (+) (n :: Nat) (m :: Nat) :: Nat
type instance 'Z      + m = m
type instance ('S n) + m = 'S (n + m)
```

```
data Vec a (n :: Nat) where
  Nil      :: Vec a 'Z
  (:::)    :: a -> Vec a n -> Vec a ('S n)

(++) :: Vec a n -> Vec a m -> Vec a (n + m)
Nil      ++ xs = xs
(x :::) xs ++ ys = x ::: (xs ++ ys)
```


Back to GADTs & type families

- The extra power doesn't come for free:
 - type annotations often required

```
data Vec a (n :: Nat) where
  Nil    :: Vec a 'Z
  (:::)  :: a -> Vec a n -> Vec a ('S n)
  (++)  :: Vec a n -> Vec a m -> Vec a (n + m)
Nil     ++ xs = xs
(x ::: xs) ++ ys = x ::: (xs ++ ys)
```

type can't be
derived automatically

Back to GADTs & type families

- Define a function which discards all odd elements from a vector

```
removeOdd (x :: xs)
| odd x    = removeOdd xs
| otherwise = x :: removeOdd xs
```

- What is the type of this function?

```

type family (+) (n :: Nat) (m :: Nat) :: Nat
type instance 'Z + m = m
type instance ('S n) + m = 'S (n + m)

```

```

data Vec a (n :: Nat) where
  Nil     :: Vec a 'Z
  (:::)   :: a -> Vec a n -> Vec a ('S n)

(++): Vec a n -> Vec a m -> Vec a (n + m)
Nil   ++ xs = xs
(x ::: xs) ++ ys = x ::: (xs ++ ys)

```

left hand side (arguments):

$\text{Nil} :: \text{Vec } a \text{ 'Z}$ $n \sim \text{'Z}$
 $xs :: \text{Vec } a \text{ m}$

?

$\text{Vec } a \text{ m} \sim \text{Vec } (\text{'Z} + m)$

right hand side (result):

$xs :: \text{Vec } a \text{ m}$

```

type family (+) (n :: Nat) (m :: Nat) :: Nat
type instance 'Z + m = m
type instance ('S n) + m = 'S (n + m)

```

```

data Vec a (n :: Nat) where
  Nil    :: Vec a 'Z
  (:::)  :: a -> Vec a n -> Vec a ('S n)

(++): Vec a n -> Vec a m -> Vec a (n + m)
Nil   ++ xs = xs
(x ::: xs) ++ ys = x ::: (xs ++ ys)

```

left hand side (arguments):

$:: \text{Vec } a \ k$

$(x ::: xs) :: \text{Vec } a \ ('S \ k)$
 $ys :: \text{Vec } a \ m$

$n \sim 'S \ k$

?

$\text{Vec } a \ 'S(k + m) \sim \text{Vec } a \ (('S \ k) + m)$

right hand side (result):

$x ::: (xs ++ ys) :: \text{Vec } a \ 'S(k + m)$